



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/491,675	01/26/2000	David L. Multer	FUSN1-01001US0	8895
28554	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP 685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540			ALI, MOHAMMAD	
	CISCO, CA 94105	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2167	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
19	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/491,675	MULTER, DAVID L.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2167	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	iress
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	, 	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 49-53,55-61,63-70 and 72-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2167

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5/9/05



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Alley teaches all limitations as discussed in the final office action including "a network for coupling the first file system and the second file system to allow communication between first fil system and the second file system,....". at col. 7, lines 64 to col. 8, lines 18, Fig. 3; col. 2, lines 5-27 and 55-67.